

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,020	01/15/2002	William Kress Bodin	AUS920010777US1	5700
34533	7590 10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL CORP (BLF)			LIN, KELVIN Y	
	c/o BIGGERS & OHANIAN, LLP P.O. BOX 1469		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	X 78767-1469		2142	
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/04/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
<b></b>	10/047,020	BODIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kelvin Lin	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply		·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may od will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mu tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u>	' Mav 2006.					
·— · ·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	• .			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims			٠.			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	•	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			٠			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			•			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have be	en received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies n	ot received.				
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-092)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		f Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<del></del> ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,020 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

### **Detailed Action**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks from page 2 to 5, filed on July 5, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-15 under 35 USC 103(a) as the combination of Maekawa in view of Moyer have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Elson et al., (USPGPUB No. 20030014521).

#### Claim Objections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 10 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 10 depends on method of claim 9 is incorrect. It should depend on system of claim 9.
- 3. Page numbers of claims are out of sequence.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/047,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 2142

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 1. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Elson et al., (USPGPUB No. 20030014521).
- 2. Regarding claims 1-5, claiming for method of control of collaborative device, have limitations corresponding to system claims 6-10. Therefore, claims 1-5 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 6-10.
- 3. Regarding claim 6, Elson teaches a system of control of collaborative devices, the system comprising:
  - at least two collaborative devices (Elson, [0132], fig. 16, two collaborative devices 1606), wherein each collaborative device comprises a client device and an embedded Java server (Elson, [0133], element 1706 embedded hardware device driver corresponding to embedded Java server, and 1710 hardware correspond to client device, see fig. 20, element 2002).
  - a registry service to which the collaborative devices are coupled for data communications (Elson, [0094], open the registry bundle access system resources via the device driver interface 601 – wireless lan in fig. 6)

Application/Control Number: 10/047,020

Art Unit: 2142

at least one registry table wherein the registry table further comprises
registry records, wherein the registry records comprise registry records
representing capabilities of collaborative devices, wherein the registry
records representing capabilities of collaborative devices further
comprise data elements describing, for each collaborative device,
capabilities, tertiary relationships, and network connectivity (Elson,
[0209], and [0218], registry database corresponding to registry table, it
includes the device addressing of the collaborative devices, provides
the capability of security, network connectivity, and the policy
corresponding to the tertiary relationship);

Page 4

- a service bundle of OSGI-compliant Java servlets comprising at least one predetermined algorithm for controlling the collaborative devices (Elson, [0025], [0026]);
- means for controlling the collaborative devices in accordance with the predetermined algorithm (Elson, [0062], controlling in accordance with the predetermined security policy and resource consumption).
- 4. Regarding claim 7, Elson further discloses the system of claim 6 wherein the predetermined algorithm is dedicated to a particular tertiary relationship, and the means for controlling the collaborative devices in accordance with predetermined algorithm comprises:

Application/Control Number: 10/047,020 Page 5

Art Unit: 2142

 means for finding a registry record bearing a set point for the particular tertiary relationship (Elson,[0096], retrieve the corresponding ID in the registry service bundle);

- means for reading a sensor value of the particular tertiary relationship;
   comparing the set point and the sensor value, wherein the comparing
   produces a comparison result (Elson, [0078], comparing the local scale
   to global scale, and [0186]);
- means for finding, in dependence upon the comparison, a registry
  record having an identified capability appropriate to the comparison
  result for the particular tertiary relationship (Elson, [0146], [0147], [0150]
  in which logic calculation, and the policy checking corresponding to the
  tertiary relationship);
- means for effecting the capability identified in found registry record (Elson, [0151], [0164]).
- 5. Regarding claim 8, Elson further discloses the system of claim 7 wherein means for affecting the capability identified in the found registry record includes means for requesting, by use of HTTP request, a URL identified as a network connectivity in the found registry record as a network connectivity (Elson, [0227], [0228])
- 6. Regarding claim 9, Elson further discloses the system of claim 7 wherein means for reading a sensor value further comprises:

 Means for finding a registry record for a sensor for the particular tertiary relationship (Elson, [0146], [0147], [0150]).

- Means for effecting the capability identified in the found registry record for the sensor (Elson, [0151], [0164]).).
- 7. Regarding claim 10, Elson further discloses the system of claim 7 wherein means for affecting the capability identified in the found registry record includes means for requesting, by use of HTTP request, a URL identified as a network connectivity in the found registry record as a network connectivity (Elson, [0227], [0228]).
- Regarding claims 11-15, claiming for computer program product for control of collaborative devices, have limitations corresponding to system claims 6-10.
   Therefore, claims 11-15 are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the Rejection of claims 6-10.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelvin Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-3898. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible 4/9/5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on 571-272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2142

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9/28/06 **KYL** 

> は記述**EW CALDWELL** 第**の名とできるという。 第1年日本の名の名という。** 第1年日本の表現できるといる。

lindrew (aldwell)